

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
- www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,605	01/16/2001	Michael D. Culler	00537-149003 / BPC044A	5812	
7	590 08/26/2002				
Y. ROCKY T	Y. ROCKY TSAO			EXAMINER	
Fish & Richard 225 Franklin S	treet		TELLER,	ROY R	
Boston, MA ()2110-2804	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	10	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2002	K K	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)		
Office Andrew C		09/761,605	CULLER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Roy Teller	1653		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ARANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	<u> August 2002</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for formal matters, pre Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 142 and 143 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 142 and 143 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
	on Papers	,			
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exan	niner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	ived.		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 1		



Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 15, 2002 has been entered.

Status of Claims

Claims 142 and 143 will be examined in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The amendment filed 2/14/02 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:



Art Unit: 1653

The amended material in claims 142 and 143 are not supported by the instant specification. Claim 143 depends from 142. Claim 142 states "... said fibrosis is **not** in the kidney, in the lung, in the liver, in the skin, of the central nervous system, in bone or bone marrow, in the cardiovascular system, in an endocrine organ, or in the gastro-intestinal system, and further provided that said fibrosis is not periportal fibrosis." The instant specification recites "... preferably the fibrosis inhibited is in the kidney, lung, liver, skin, central nervous system, bone or bone marrow, cardiovascular system, an endocrine organ, or gastrointestinal system." (Page 4, lines 8-10, page 4, lines 16-19, page 4, lines 26-29, page 5, lines 2-4, and page 5, lines 10-13.)

Claims 142 and 143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The reasons have been set forth in the above objection.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 142-143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1653

The negative limitations in claim 142 would appear to prevent the operation of the invention *in vivo*, thus, the claim is indefinite as to being carried out in a patient. With the negative limitations claim 142 states where the fibrosis is not: not in the kidney, in the lung, etc. The claim should specify where the fibrosis is.

Claim 142 and 143 should set forth an effective amount to be used in the methods of administering a therapeutically effective amount of the somatostatin or somatostatin agonist to the patient. The claims should state what the endpoint or conditions (time, dose, etc.) of the treatment are supposed to be.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 142 and 143 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,342.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Art Unit: 1653

because claim 142 detail where the fibrosis is not, but claim 1 of the '342 patent include all

locations of fibrosis. This includes where the fibrosis is not currently recited in the amended

claim 142. Claim 143 recites administering a somatostatin agonist as does claim 2 of the '342

patent.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Teller whose telephone number is (703) 305-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 am to 2:30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RT 1653

et

ABRIELLE BUGAISKY

PRIMARY EXAMINER